# FILED MAR ~ 8 20 7 OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON MMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

In Re the Matter of

3.

THE HONORABLE MARY E. ROBERTS, Judge of the King County Superior Court CJC No. 8222-F-171 STATEMENT OF CHARGES

Pursuant to authority granted in Washington State Constitution, Article IV, section 31, the Revised Code of Washington, Chapter 2.64, and the Commission on Judicial Conduct Rules of Procedure ("CJCRP"), 17(d)(4)(C), the Commission on Judicial Conduct orders this Statement of Charges filed alleging violations of the Code of Judicial Conduct by Judge Mary E. Roberts.

# I. BACKGROUND

1. Mary Roberts ("Respondent") is a King County Superior Court Judge and was serving in that capacity at all times relevant herein.

2. Pursuant to CJCRP 17(c), after independently investigating a complaint concerning allegations that Respondent failed to issue decisions in numerous cases in a timely manner, the Commission on Judicial Conduct initiated disciplinary proceedings against Respondent by serving her with a Statement of Allegations on January 20, 2017.

Respondent did not file an answer to the Statement of Allegations.

4. At its executive session on February 24, 2017, the Commission on Judicial Conduct made a finding that probable cause exists to believe that the Respondent violated Canon 1 (Rules 1.1 and 1.2) and Canon 2 (Rule 2.5) of the Code of Judicial Conduct.

## II. CONDUCT GIVING RISE TO CHARGES

Respondent is charged with failing to issue decisions in several cases in a timely manner, and thus failed to comply with the law (Washington Constitution, article 4, section 20, RCW 2.08.240) and failed to dispose promptly of the business of the court in violation of Canon 1 (Rules 1.1 and **STATEMENT OF CHARGES - 1** 

1

2

1.2) and Canon 2 (Rule 2.5) of the Code of Judicial Conduct. Examples of such decisional delay include, but are not limited to, the following civil and family law cases, King County Superior Court cause numbers 14-3-03942-9 SEA; 12-2-03549-1 KNT; 14-2-32078-7 SEA; 15-2-01791-8 SEA; 11-3-08713-5 SEA; 13-2-19125-3 SEA; 15-2-28794-0 SEA; and 15-2-18085-1 SEA.

#### **III. BASIS FOR COMMISSION ACTION**

On February 24, 2017, the Commission determined that probable cause exists to believe that Respondent has violated Canon 1 (Rules 1.1 and 1.2) and Canon 2 (Rule 2.5) of the Code of Judicial Conduct. These sections of the Code state:

### CANON 1

A Judge shall uphold and promote the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the
judiciary, and shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety.

**Rule 1.1** 

16 Compliance with the Law

A judge shall comply with the law, including the Code of Judicial Conduct.

**Rule 1.2** 

20 Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary

A judge shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary, and shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety.

#### CANON 2

A Judge should perform the duties of judicial office impartially, competently, and diligently.

**STATEMENT OF CHARGES - 2** 

# Rule 2.5

## Competence, Diligence, and Cooperation

(A) A judge shall perform judicial and administrative duties, competently and diligently.

(B) A judge shall cooperate with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business.

## IV. RIGHT TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER

In accordance with CJCRP 20, Respondent may file a written answer to this Statement of Charges with the Commission within twenty-one (21) days after the date of service of the Statement of Charges. CJCRP 21 provides that failure to answer shall constitute an admission of the factual allegations. In the event respondent fails to answer within the prescribed time, the statement of charges shall be deemed admitted. The commission shall proceed to determine the appropriate discipline.

DATED this 3rd day of March 2017.

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

J. Reiko Callner Executive Director P.O. Box 1817 Olympia, WA 98507

**STATEMENT OF CHARGES - 3**