BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

FILED

3 In Re the Matter of: 4 No. 3790-F-100 COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT The Honorable Michael S. Hurtado, 5 Judge Seattle Municipal Court 6 STIPULATION, AGREEMENT 610 3rd Avenue, Ste 1100 AND Seattle, Washington 98104 7 ORDER OF ADMONISHMENT 8

The Commission on Judicial Conduct and the Honorable Michael S. Hurtado, a judge of the Seattle Municipal Court, stipulate and agree as follows:

This stipulation is submitted pursuant to CJCRP 23 and shall not become effective until approved by the Washington Commission on Judicial Conduct.

STIPULATED FACTS

- 1. The Honorable Michael S. Hurtado, Respondent herein, was at all times herein a judge of the Seattle Municipal Court, Seattle, Washington. At the times referred to herein, Respondent was serving as a judge pro tempore in Des Moines Municipal Court, Des Moines, Washington.
- 2. On February 13, 2002, while presiding as a judge pro tempore in the court for the City of Des Moines, Respondent heard a petitioner's challenge to a vehicle impound in Cause No. 2001-1017. Respondent ruled that the City failed to produce evidence that the ticket was properly issued and signed an order finding that the vehicle was improperly impounded. The petitioner departed with a copy of the signed order.

Later that same afternoon, after Respondent had heard and ruled on Cause No. 2001-1017, City Prosecutor Heidi Brosius requested Respondent to hear from the towing company witness in the same Cause No. 2001-1017. Respondent heard from Ms. Brosius and her witness without the presence of the petitioner, who had already departed the premises, having received a written order

STIPULATION, AGREEMENT AND ORDER OF ADMONISHMENT - 1

27 28

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

STIPULATION, AGREEMENT AND ORDER OF ADMONISHMENT - 2

after the contested hearing. On hearing from the towing company's witness, Respondent vacated the order entered earlier that day.

- 3. Under the Code of Judicial Conduct, a judge must not initiate or consider unauthorized ex parte or other communications concerning a pending or impending proceeding. Failing to observe this standard is a violation of Canons 1, 2(A) and 3(A)(4) of the Code of Judicial Conduct.¹
- 4. Upon being contacted by the Commission, Respondent promptly replied to its inquiries. Respondent explained that the prosecutor and her witness had informed the court that the person who answered for the petitioner was not the petitioner and registered owner of the vehicle. Respondent believed that a fraud had been perpetrated on the court, set aside the dismissal, and ordered a new hearing. (Upon learning of the ex parte dismissal at the next hearing before the regular judge, petitioner stated both he and the other registered owner had, in fact, been present on February 13, 2002.)
- 5. Respondent was cooperative with the Commission. Respondent has no history of prior disciplinary action.
- 6. Respondent agreed to avoid initiating or considering ex parte communications in the future.

The judicial duties of judges should take precedence over all other activities. Their judicial duties include all the duties of office prescribed by law. In the performance of these duties, the following standards apply:

... (4) Judges should accord to every person who is legally interested in a proceeding, or that person's lawyer, full right to be heard

according to law, and, except as authorized by law, neither initiate nor consider ex parte or other communications concerning a pending

(A) Adjudicative Responsibilities.

or impending proceeding. . . .

¹ CANON 1 - JUDGES SHALL UPHOLD THE INTEGRITY AND INDEPENDENCE OF THE JUDICIARY
An independent and honorable judiciary is indispensable to justice in our society. Judges should participate in establishing, maintaining, and enforcing high standards of judicial conduct and shall personally observe those standards so that the integrity and independence of the judiciary will be preserved. The provisions of this Code are to be construed and applied to further that objective.

CANON 2 - JUDGES SHOULD AVOID IMPROPRIETY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY IN ALL THEIR ACTIVITIES (A) Judges should respect and comply with the law and act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary.

CANON 3 - JUDGES SHALL PERFORM THE DUTIES OF THEIR OFFICE IMPARTIALLY AND DILIGENTLY.

4

9

7

10 11

12 13

14 15

17

18

16

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

STIPULATION, AGREEMENT AND **ORDER OF ADMONISHMENT - 3**

AGREEMENT

- 1. Based upon the stipulated facts, Respondent agrees that while a judge pro tempore of the Des Moines Municipal Court he violated Canons 1, 2(A) and 3(A)(4) of the Code of Judicial Conduct by receiving and acting upon improper ex parte communications.
- 2. Respondent agrees to accept a written admonishment **a** as described in RCW 2.64.010(1) and CJCRP Terminology and Rule 6.
 - 3. Respondent agrees that he will exercise caution to avoid repeating the violation
- 4. In accepting this stipulation, the Commission takes into account Respondent's cooperation with the Commission's investigation and his acknowledgment of the violation. The Commission also takes into account the lack of prior disciplinary action involving Respondent and the fact that this appears to be an isolated incident.

Standard Additional Terms of Commission Stipulation

- 5. Respondent agrees further that he shall not engage in any retaliatory conduct with regard to any person known or suspected to have cooperated with the Commission, named as a potential witness in this matter, or otherwise associated with this proceeding.
- 6. Respondent represents that he has either consulted or had an opportunity to consult with counsel of his choosing regarding this stipulation and proceeding. Respondent voluntarily enters into this stipulation.
- 7. Respondent agrees that by entering into this Stipulation and Agreement he hereby waives his procedural rights and appeal rights pursuant to the Commission on Judicial Conduct Rules of Procedure and Article IV, Section 31 of the Washington State Constitution in this proceeding.

David Akana, Counsel Commission on Judicial Conduct

28

ORDER OF ADMONISHMENT

Based upon the above stipulation and agreement, the Commission on Judicial Conduct hereby ORDERS, and Judge Michael S. Hurtado, Respondent, is hereby ADMONISHED for violating Canons 1, 2(A) and 3(A)(4) of the Code of Judicial Conduct. Respondent shall exercise caution to avoid repeating the violation in the future.

DATED this day of October, 2002

K. Collins Sprague, Vicc-Chair Commission on Judicial Conduct

STIPULATION, AGREEMENT AND ORDER OF ADMONISHMENT - 4