COMMISSION ON

1

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

**17** 

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

IN RE THE MATTER OF
The Honorable John G. Ritchie

No. 91-1110-F-33
STATEMENT OF CHARGES

This Statement of Charges is filed alleging various violations by The Honorable John G. Ritchie of the Rules of Judicial Conduct pursuant to authority granted in RCW 2.64 and Washington Administrative Code Chapter 292, and at the order of the Commission on Judicial Conduct. The background and facts relating to the charges are set forth in the following paragraphs.

#### I. BACKGROUND

- The Honorable John G. Ritchie, Respondent herein, is now and was at all times discussed herein a Judge of the King County District Court.
- 2. On February 19, 1992, Judge Ritchie was sent a letter from the Commission on Judicial Conduct informing him that a Verified Statement was filed in accordance with WAC 292-12-010(4) and that the Commission was pursuing initial proceedings. A Statement of Allegations was included with the letter. On STATEMENT OF CHARGES 1



August 18, 1992, an Amended Statement of Allegations was sent to Judge Ritchie.

### II. FACTS SUPPORTING CHARGES

3. Improper Claims for Travel Reimbursement. For each of the years 1986 through 1991 Judge Ritchie signed and submitted travel vouchers for reimbursement in connection with trips taken by him allegedly in furtherance of the business of the King County District Court. During the years in question, he made one trip to Montego Bay, Jamaica, four trips to Florida and one trip to Phoenix, Arizona. As detailed below, the vouchers submitted by Judge Ritchie in connection with the trips contain numerous false and/or highly misleading statements concerning the nature, purpose and duration of the Court-related business allegedly conducted during the trips.

# a. 1986 Trip to Montego Bay, Jamaica.

On December 16, 1986, Judge Ritchie submitted a voucher for expenses incurred with attendance at a California Trial Lawyers Association seminar in Montego Bay, Jamaica. <u>See</u> Exhibit A. According to the voucher, the seminar began on December 3, 1986, and concluded on December 9, 1986. In fact, meetings were held only on December 2, 3, 4 and 5. <u>See</u> Exhibit A-1. No other judge from the state of Washington attended. Based on the voucher, Judge Ritchie was reimbursed for his air fare and lodging.

STATEMENT OF CHARGES - 2

# b. 1987 Trip to Florida.

On November 16, 1987, Judge Ritchie submitted a voucher for expenses allegedly incurred in connection with attendance at a Florida Bar Association CLE entitled Appellate Practice for the General Practitioner. According to the voucher signed by Judge Ritchie, the seminar began on October 14, 1987, and ended on October 20, 1987. See Ex. B. In fact, the CLE lasted one day.

Judge Ritchie was in Florida for a total of two weeks in connection with the trip. He claimed and received reimbursement for his entire air fare (\$309), lodging for approximately four days at the Sun Spot Vacation Apartments, and rental car expenses for the entire two week period.

## c. 1988 Trip to Florida.

on November 14, 1988, Judge Ritchie submitted a travel voucher seeking reimbursement for attendance at an alleged "Florida Bar Association Conference" which, according to the voucher, began on October 5, 1988, and ended on October 17, 1988.

See Exhibit C. Contrary to the representations in the voucher, there was no such conference. Although Judge Ritchie had originally registered for a one day Florida Bar Association CLE on sexual harassment to be held sometime during the period in question, he was notified, approximately two months in advance of the scheduled date of the CLE, that it had been canceled. Notwithstanding, he took the trip and billed King County for various expenses.

STATEMENT OF CHARGES - 3

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

\_\_\_

Even though the CLE was canceled, Judge Ritchie submitted materials which made it appear that he had in fact attended a CLE. For example, in the materials he submitted supporting the claimed expenses Judge Ritchie wrote the following:

The following are expenses incurred by myself relating to the <u>conference</u> and study in St. Petersburg, Florida.

. . . .

1988 Travel Voucher (Ex. C at 2) (emphasis added).

Judge Ritchie sought and received reimbursement for his entire air fare, lodging for approximately five days at the Sun Spot Vacation Apartments, and his rental car bill for the entire twelve days he was in Florida.

## d. 1989 Trip to Florida.

On December 5, 1989, Judge Ritchie submitted a voucher seeking reimbursement for a trip to Florida in connection with a purported meeting relating to the "Florida Courts of Limited Jurisdiction." See Ex. D. There was, however, no formal conference or meeting sponsored by any organization relating to the "Florida Courts of Limited Jurisdiction." Judge Ritchie claimed reimbursement for his entire air fare and lodging for approximately six days at the Sun Spot Vacation Apartments.

### e. 1990 Trip to Florida.

On August 23, 1990, Judge Ritchie submitted a voucher for attendance at a "Florida Judicial Conference" which allegedly began on May 23, 1990, and ended on June 5, 1990. See Ex. E. STATEMENT OF CHARGES - 4

There was, however, no such conference sponsored by any organization. In the supporting materials submitted by Judge Ritchie in connection with the voucher, he wrote a memo to the Seattle District Court Administrator stating, in part, as follows:

The following are the expenses from the conference.

. . . .

1990 Travel Voucher (Ex. E at 4) (emphasis added).

He also submitted a copy of a canceled check to a travel agency which falsely stated that the purpose of the check was to pay for travel to a "conference CLE." Id. at 2.

Judge Ritchie sought and received reimbursement for his entire air fare, lodging for approximately eleven days at the Sun Spot Vacation Apartments, and the entire rental car bill.

## f. Trip to Arizona.

On November 4, 1991, Judge Ritchie submitted a travel voucher seeking reimbursement for travel to Phoenix, Arizona, from October 8, 1991, through October 29, 1991. See Exhibit F. While in Phoenix, he stayed at a condominium he owns. According to the voucher, the purpose of the trip was for a "conference" on "law related education." There was no such conference. Judge Ritchie requested and received reimbursement for his entire air fare, and one-half of the total rental car charge for the period he was in Phoenix.

STATEMENT OF CHARGES - 5

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

County. From the period January 1991 through February 1992, Judge Ritchie requested and received \$850 in postage stamps from King County. See Exhibit H at 1-6. As of March 16, 1992, he had only \$332 of these postage stamps left. See Exhibit H at 7. By subpoena duces tecum issued under the authority of the Commission, Judge Ritchie was ordered to produce copies of any log or other record of outgoing correspondence sent in his official capacity during this time period. These records were sought to determine whether the volume of outgoing court-related correspondence was consistent with the extremely high number of stamps used by Judge Ritchie. No such record was produced, and Judge Ritchie has been STATEMENT OF CHARGES - 6

22

23

24

25

26

unable to provide copies of official correspondence which would account for the high volume of stamps used by him during the period in question.

### III. BASIS FOR COMMISSION ACTION

6. The Commission has determined that probable cause exists for believing that the Respondent has violated Canons 1 and 2(A) of the Code of Judicial Conduct, which state:

Code of Judicial Conduct:

#### CANON 1

# A Judge Should Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary

An independent and honorable judiciary is indispensable to justice in our society. A judge should participate in establishing, maintaining, and enforcing, and should himself observe, high standards of conduct so that the integrity and independence of the judiciary may be preserved. The provisions of this Code should be construed and applied to further that objective.

#### CANON 2

## A Judge Should Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in All His Activities

A. A judge should respect and comply with the law and should conduct himself at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary.

### IV. NOTIFICATION OF RIGHT TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER

7. In accordance with WAC 292-12-030(5), the Respondent is herewith informed that a written answer may be filed with the STATEMENT OF CHARGES - 7

Commission to the charges contained in the Statement of Charges within twenty-one days after the date of service. If Respondent does not file a written Answer, a general denial will be entered on his behalf. The Statement of Charges and Answer shall be the only pleadings required.

DATED this 16th day of leptember, 1992.

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

By David Warn

Executive Director P. O. Box 1817 Olympia, WA 98507

STATEMENT OF CHARGES - 8